



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,067	01/28/2004	Hemanth Sampath	MP0396	5464

26200 7590 08/16/2007
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
P.O BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

BARON, HENRY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2616

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/16/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/767,067

Applicant(s)

SAMPATH ET AL.

Examiner

Henry Baron

Art Unit

2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-94 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-94 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ✓
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ✓
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/2/2004, 2/6/2004, 1/27/2004.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2616

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 48 - 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, particularly a computer program.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-4, 14 –17, 26-28, 37 –40, 48-51, 61-64, 73-75, and 84 -87 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Kadous et al (U.S. Patent 6,636,568).

5. Regarding Claim 1, 26, 48, and 73, Kadous teaches a method and apparatus for receiving a selected spatial multiplexing rate, the spatial multiplexing rate corresponding to one or more mapping permutations; (Figure 5, read rate control), and for each of a plurality of data tones (Figure 5 read encoders block 512a), mapping one or more data symbols (Figure 5, block 516a) to a plurality of antennas (Figure 5 block 124a-t) using a corresponding one of the one or more mapping permutations.
(16:[0010-0051])

6. Considering Claims 2 -4, 27-28, 49-51, and 74 -75, Kadous teaches that plurality of data tones comprise data tones in an OFDM symbol, mapping comprises space frequency coding the OFDM

Art Unit: 2616

symbol (16:[0052-0055]), and transmitting the coded OFDM symbol on the plurality of antennas (16:[0067]).

7. Regarding Claims 14, 37, 61, and 84, Kadous teaches a method and apparatus for receiving space frequency coded symbol from a plurality of antennas (Figure 6, block 152a-r), with a selected spatial multiplexing rate, the spatial multiplexing rate corresponding to one or more mapping permutations; (Figure 5, read rate control), and for each of a plurality of data tones (Figure 5 read encoders block 512a), mapping one or more data symbols (Figure 5, block 516a) to a plurality of antennas (Figure 5 block 124a-t) using a corresponding one of the one or more mapping permutations. (16:[0010-0051]).
8. Considering Claims 17, 40, 64, and 87, Kadous teaches the space frequency coded symbol comprises a space frequency coded OFDM symbol. (16:[0052-0055]).
9. With regards to Claims 15, 16, 38, 39, 62, 63, 85, and 86 Kadous teaches of linear decoding (19:[0028-0035]) and nonlinear decoding (19: [0037-0049]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 5-13, 21-24, 29-35, 41-47, 52-60, 65-72, 76-83, and 88-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadous et al (U.S. Patent 6,636,568) in view of Gesbert, et al. From Theory to Practice: An Overview of MIMO Space-Time Coded Wireless Systems, IEEE JOURNAL ON SELECTED AREAS IN COMMUNICATIONS, VOL. 21, NO. 3, APRIL 2003, and in further view of Rietz, College Algebra pages 186 – 187, Henry Holt and Company, 1909.

Art Unit: 2616

11. Regarding Claims 5, 29, 52, and 76, Kadous teaches a method and apparatus comprised of receiving a selected spatial multiplexing rate (2: [0025-0030] read data rates) for each of a plurality of data tones (3: [0030-0035] i.e. MIMO-OFDM) mapping one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of antennas (3: [0035 -0040] N_t transmit antennas). In Figure 1, Kadous teaches of demultiplexing (data source 112 to TX/RX 124i)

12. However, Kadous is silent in teaching of a spatial multiplexing rate corresponding to the mapping permutations of $M_t !/(M! \times (M_t - M)!)$ where M is the spatial multiplexing rate and M_t is the number of antennas.

13. Rietz teaches of plurality of mapping permutations comprise $M_t !/(M! \times (M_t - M)!)$ permutations, (page 186, Section 134; Combination of things all different) and Gesbert teaches that M is (the range) of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum diversity to maximum multiplexing and M_t is the number of antennas (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories).

14. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to modify the *selected* spatial multiplexing rate teachings of Kadous to the range of multiplexing rate teachings of Gesbert using the permutation teachings of Rietz.

15. The modification would yield an improvement in transmission efficiency because the *selected* spatial multiplexing rate can be chosen to match channel conditions over any value within a range spatial multiplexing rates thereby optimizing the transmission system.

16. With respect to Claims 6, 7, 30, 53, 54, and 77 Gesbert teaches of a range of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum or pure diversity to maximum or pure multiplexing (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories) selected from a plurality of available spatial multiplexing rates corresponding to the number of antennas.

Art Unit: 2616

17. Considering Claims 8, 31, 55, and 78, the nature of permutation mapping of the permutation (sic combination) of Rietz (page 186, Section 134; Combination of things all different) is cyclical.

18. With regards to Claims 9, 32, 56, and 79, Gesbert teaches of mapping with an apparatus compliant with a standard selected from the group consisting of IEEE standards 802.16. (Page 297 Section; Standardized Models).

19. Considering Claims 10, 33, 57, and 80 Gesbert teaches of a range of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum spatial diversity to maximum multiplexing (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories).

20. With respect to Claims 11, 34, 58, and 81, Gesbert teaches of transmitting the data symbols from the antennas at a substantially equal power. (Page 284 equation 4 and equal power in subsequent paragraph).

21. Considering Claims 12, 35, 59, and 82, Gesbert teaches of mapping data symbols to antennas for each of data tones using less than the available tone-antenna combinations. (Page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read diversity maximization).

22. Considering Claim 13, 36, 60, and 83, Rietz's combination teaches of one or more mapping permutations (sic combination) without reference to the order of individuals. (Page 186, Section 133).

23. Claims 18, 41, 65, and 88 *are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable* over Kadous et al (U.S. Patent 6,636,568) in view of Gesbert, et al. From Theory to Practice: An Overview of MIMO Space-Time Coded Wireless Systems, IEEE JOURNAL ON SELECTED AREAS IN COMMUNICATIONS, VOL. 21, NO. 3, APRIL 2003, and in further view of Rietz, College Algebra pages 186 – 187, Henry Holt and Company, 1909.

24. Regarding Claims 18, 41, 65, and 88, Kadous teaches of a method and apparatus comprised of receiving a space frequency coded symbol from antennas, the space frequency coded symbol including data tones, (4: [0031-0045] read RX MIMO) for each of a plurality of data tones (3: [0030-0035] i.e.

Art Unit: 2616

MIMO-OFDM) mapping one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of antennas (4: [0031 - 0045]).

25. However, Kadous is silent in teaching of a spatial multiplexing rate corresponding to the mapping permutations of $M_t / (M_t! \times (M_r - M_t)!)$ where M is the spatial multiplexing rate and M_t is the number of antennas.

26. Gesbert teaches of a range of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum diversity to maximum multiplexing (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories).

27. Rietz teaches of one or more mapping permutations. (Page 186, Section 134; Combination of things all different).

28. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to modify the received spatial multiplexing rate teachings of Kadous to the *range* of multiplexing rate teachings of Gesbert using the permutation teachings of Rietz.

29. The modification would yield an improvement in reception efficiency because the received spatial multiplexing rate can be chosen to match channel conditions over any value within a range spatial multiplexing rates thereby optimizing the receiving system.

30. With respect to Claims 19, 20, 42, 43, 66, 67, 89, and 90, Gesbert teaches of a range of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum or pure diversity to maximum or pure multiplexing (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories) selected from a plurality of available spatial multiplexing rates corresponding to the number of antennas.

31. Considering Claims 21, 44, 68, and 91, the nature of permutation mapping of the permutation (sic combination) of Rietz (page 186, Section 134; Combination of things all different) is cyclical.

Art Unit: 2616

32. With regards to Claims 22, 45, 69, and 92, Gesbert teaches of mapping with an apparatus compliant with a standard selected from the group consisting of IEEE standards 802.16. (page 297 Section; Standardized Models).

33. With respect to Claims 23, and 70, Gesbert teaches of a range of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum spatial diversity to maximum multiplexing (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories).

34. In regards to Claims 24, 71, and 94, Gesbert teaches of receiving the data symbols from the antennas at a substantially equal power. (Page 284 equation 4 and equal power in subsequent paragraph).

35. Considering Claims 46 and 93, Gesbert teaches of mapping data symbols to antennas for each of data tones using less than the available tone-antenna combinations. (Page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read diversity maximization).

36. Considering Claim 25, 47, 72, and 94, Rietz's combination teaches of one or more mapping permutations (sic combination) without reference to the order of individuals. (Page 186, Section 133).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Baron whose telephone number is (571) 270-1748. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM E.S.T. Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Art Unit: 2616

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HB

Seema S. Rao
SEEMA S. RAO 8114107
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600